Editorial

JOURNAL OF THE BEST AVAILABLE EVIDENCE IN MEDICINE

https://doi.org/XXXXXX/XXXX-XXX-XXXXX-X

Ebola: An Ongoing Public Health Emergency of International Concern

Mosab N. Hamad, PhD, FRSB

Invited: 19/05/2026

OPEN ACCESS

Published online: 26/05/2026

Director of the Excellence Research Center, Elsheikh Abdallah Elbadri University, Berber, Sudan

Corresponding Author:

musab.noor13@gmail.com

The declaration by the World Health Organization on 17 May 2026 that the Ebola outbreak affecting the Democratic Republic of the Congo and Uganda constitutes a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) represents a defining moment in the global response to viral hemorrhagic diseases. This declaration signals that the outbreak is no longer confined to a localized epidemic but has evolved into a regional and international health threat requiring coordinated surveillance, laboratory strengthening, infection-prevention measures, and emergency clinical response.[1]

The biological characteristics of the outbreak further justify the urgency of the declaration. According to WHO reports, the causative pathogen has been identified as Bundibugyo virus, one of the recognized Ortho ebolaviruses capable of causing severe and often fatal human disease.[1,2] Ebola virus disease is primarily transmitted through direct contact with infected body fluids, contaminated materials, or the bodies of deceased infected individuals. Consequently, early diagnosis, rapid isolation, rigorous infection-control procedures, and effective contact tracing remain central pillars of outbreak containment.[2]

A major concern surrounding the current epidemic is the limited availability of targeted medical countermeasures. Unlike outbreaks caused by Zaire ebolavirus, for which important progress has been achieved in vaccine development and therapeutic interventions, Bundibugyo virus disease currently lacks licensed vaccines or specific antiviral therapies.[3] This limitation shifts immediate public health priorities toward strengthening supportive clinical management, improving laboratory diagnostic capacity, protecting healthcare workers, and enforcing strict infection-prevention protocols within both healthcare facilities and communities.

Importantly, the PHEIC declaration should not be interpreted as a cause for panic but rather as a call for proportional scientific and public health escalation. Epidemic control depends not only on biomedical interventions but also on the functionality of health systems. Effective outbreak management requires operational laboratories, trained response teams, adequate personal protective equipment, safe patient transportation systems, community engagement, and transparent risk communication. In regions affected by insecurity, population displacement, fragile infrastructure, and limited healthcare resources, maintaining these systems becomes exceptionally challenging, thereby increasing the risk of delayed detection and silent transmission chains.

The response must also prioritize social and ethical considerations. Communities affected by Ebola should be approached through partnership rather than stigma or blame. Fear and misinformation can discourage

healthcare-seeking behavior, reduce compliance with surveillance measures, and undermine public trust in health authorities. Conversely, community trust and engagement significantly improve case reporting, adherence to isolation protocols, and acceptance of public health interventions. The current emergency in the Democratic Republic of the Congo and Uganda therefore represents not only a biomedical crisis but also a broader health-systems and societal challenge.

Conclusion

The WHO declaration of a Public Health Emergency of International Concern marks a critical turning point in the management of the ongoing Ebola outbreak. The immediate global priority must focus on containment through evidence-based public health measures, while long-term strategies should emphasize investment in vaccines, therapeutics, rapid diagnostics, and resilient healthcare systems capable of responding to all Ebola virus species. Sustained international collaboration, scientific transparency, and community-centered approaches will remain essential to preventing further regional and global spread.

Disclosure Statement

The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. World Health Organization. Epidemic of Ebola disease caused by Bundibugyo virus in the Democratic Republic of the Congo and Uganda determined a public health emergency of international concern [Internet]. Geneva: WHO; 2026 [cited 2026 May 20]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news/item/17-05-2026-epidemic-of-ebola-disease-in-the-democratic-republic-of-the-congo-and-uganda-determined-a-public-health-emergency-of-international-concern
2. World Health Organization. Ebola disease [Internet]. Geneva: WHO; 2025 [cited 2026 May 20]. Available from: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/ebola-disease
3. World Health Organization. Ebola disease caused by Bundibugyo virus, Democratic Republic of the Congo & Uganda [Internet]. Disease Outbreak News. Geneva: WHO; 2026 [cited 2026 May 20]. Available from: https://www.who.int/emergencies/disease-outbreak-news/item/2026-DON602
4. Jacob ST, Crozier I, Fischer WA II, Hewlett A, Kraft CS, de La Vega MA, et al. Ebola virus disease. Nat Rev Dis Primers. 2020;6(1):13. doi: 10.1038/s41572-020-0147-3
5. Feldmann H, Sprecher A, Geisbert TW. Ebola. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(19):1832-1842. doi: 10.1056/NEJMra1901594